

# CHEM 6472: Quantum Mechanics

## Problem Set V

Due Thursday, Oct. 24

1. Consider a 2-D harmonic oscillator with potential  $V(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}k_x x^2 + \frac{1}{2}k_y y^2$ . What are the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions?
2. **The Harmonic Oscillator as a model of molecular vibrations in diatomic molecules.**

Consider a diatomic molecule AB. The total wavefunction for this molecule may be approximately factorized as

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) = \Psi_{trans}(\mathbf{R}_{CM})\Psi_{vib}(R)\Psi_{rot}(\theta, \phi; R)\Psi_{elec}(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}), \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $\mathbf{r}$  denote nuclear and electronic degrees of freedom, respectively,  $\mathbf{R}_{CM}$  denotes the center of mass coordinates,  $R$  is the distance between nuclei, and  $\theta, \phi$  denote rotations of the diatomic about some fixed coordinate system. A coordinate after a semicolon means that the wavefunction depends on that variable *parametrically* — i.e., we pretend the wavefunction does not depend on that variable, but we solve for the wavefunction at a series of different values for the parametric variable and obtain different results each time.

Using the simple relationship  $S_{vib}(R) = \Psi_{vib}(R)/R$ , it is possible, after invoking the Born-Oppenheimer approximation and some other approximations, to arrive at the “vibrational Schrödinger equation”:

$$\left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \frac{d^2 S_{vib}(q)}{dq^2} + E_{elec}(q)S_{vib}(q) \right] = E_{vib}S_{vib}(q), \quad (2)$$

where we have switched over to a new variable  $q$  which is just the deviation of the bond length  $R$  from its equilibrium value  $R_e$ , or  $q = R - R_e$ . Note also that we have turned the two-body vibrational problem into a one-body problem by switching to center-of-mass coordinates and invoking the reduced mass

$$\mu = \frac{M_A M_B}{M_A + M_B}. \quad (3)$$

- (a) Suppose we have the molecule HCl. Assuming the most common isotopes of H and Cl atoms, what is the reduced mass of this molecule? Note: you need the actual mass of a single H atom and a single Cl atom, not a mole of them; do not use masses from a periodic table, since these average over naturally occurring isotopic abundances. One quantum of energy for HCl can be obtained spectroscopically as  $2991 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . (This is an unusual energy unit (“wavenumbers”) which can be converted to a real unit of energy by multiplying by  $hc$  or to angular frequency  $\omega$  by multiplying by  $2\pi c$ ). What is the force constant for the H–Cl bond in units of  $\text{kg s}^{-2}$ ?

- (b) Expand  $E_{elec}(q)$  as a Taylor series about  $q = 0$  (i.e., about the equilibrium bond length  $R = R_e$ ), to obtain

$$E_{elec}(q) = E_{elec}(0) + E'_{elec}(0)q + \frac{1}{2}E''_{elec}(0)q^2 + \dots \quad (4)$$

Now assume that the cubic and higher terms are all negligible. This is called the assumption of *mechanical harmonicity*. Eq. (4), when cubic and higher terms are neglected, leads to an equation which is equivalent to the Harmonic Oscillator problem when fed into eq. (2). At  $R = R_e$  (or  $q = 0$ ), we are at a minimum on the potential energy curve, so  $E'_{elec}(0) = 0$ . Explain why the constant term  $E_{elec}(0)$  (which does not appear in the Harmonic Oscillator problem) does not really make this a different problem than the Harmonic Oscillator, and identify the oscillator force constant in terms of the factors appearing in eq. (4).

- (c) *Infrared Spectra*: A photon of light can be absorbed or emitted by our diatomic molecule if it has exactly the same energy as an allowed transition between energy levels in the molecule *and* if the transition between these levels is “allowed.” A transition between levels is allowed if there is some mechanism coupling the two levels. Most frequently, we are concerned with “electric dipole allowed” transitions, which means that we obtain a nonzero value for the “transition matrix element” between two states  $|\Psi'\rangle$  and  $|\Psi\rangle$ :

$$\langle \Psi' | \mu | \Psi \rangle = \Psi'_{trans}(\mathbf{R}_{CM}) \Psi'_{elec}(\mathbf{r}) \Psi'_{vib}(R) \Psi'_{rot}(\theta, \phi) \mu \Psi_{trans}(\mathbf{R}_{CM}) \Psi_{elec}(\mathbf{r}) \Psi_{vib}(R) \Psi_{rot}(\theta, \phi). \quad (5)$$

Note that  $\mu$  is a vector,  $\mu = \mu_x \hat{i} + \mu_y \hat{j} + \mu_z \hat{k}$ . Since we can express  $\mu$  in terms of purely internal coordinates, the translational part factors out and integrates to a factor of one if we are in the same translational state. Furthermore, let us assume we are in the same electronic and rotational states for  $|\Psi\rangle$  and  $|\Psi'\rangle$  just to make things easier. Then,

$$\langle \Psi' | \mu | \Psi \rangle = \int dR R^2 \frac{S'^*_{vib}}{R} \int d\Omega \Psi'^*_{rot}(\theta, \phi; R) \int d\mathbf{r} \Psi'^*_{elec}(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) \mu \frac{S_{vib}}{R} \Psi_{rot}(\theta, \phi; R) \Psi_{elec}(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}). \quad (6)$$

If we lump together everything but the vibrational part into a new variable (vector),

$$\mathbf{d}(R) = \int d\Omega \Psi'^*_{rot}(\theta, \phi; R) \int d\mathbf{r} \Psi'^*_{elec}(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) \mu \Psi_{rot}(\theta, \phi; R) \Psi_{elec}(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}), \quad (7)$$

then we finally get a simple expression for the intensity of a transition between different vibrational levels (which will appear in the infrared):

$$\langle \Psi' | \mu | \Psi \rangle = \int dR S'^*_{vib}(R) \mathbf{d}(R) S_{vib}(R), \quad (8)$$

which can be rewritten in terms of our displacement variable  $q$ :

$$\langle \Psi' | \mu | \Psi \rangle = \int dq S'^*_{vib}(q) \mathbf{d}(q) S_{vib}(q). \quad (9)$$

Since the  $S_{vib}(q)$  wavefunctions are just our Harmonic oscillator wavefunctions, and since these are conveniently indexed by a single quantum number  $n$ , we'll rewrite the vibrational wavefunctions in  $|\Psi'\rangle$  and  $|\Psi\rangle$  as  $|n\rangle$  and  $|m\rangle$ , respectively, to obtain:

$$\langle\Psi'|\mu|\Psi\rangle = \int \langle n|\mathbf{d}(q)|m\rangle dq. \quad (10)$$

- i. Expand  $\mathbf{d}(q)$  in a Taylor series in  $q$  about  $q = 0$ . As it turns out, this expansion is very strongly dominated by just the first two or three terms. If you keep only the constant term, what combinations of quantum numbers  $n$  and  $m$ , if any, give allowed transition matrix elements in eq. (10)?
- ii. The linear term is usually the one which determines spectra. What combinations of quantum numbers  $n$  and  $m$  give nonzero transitions for the term of  $\mathbf{d}(q)$  linear in  $q$ ? This is in fact the famous "selection rule" for IR transitions!
- iii. Finally, sometimes weak transitions can be observed due to the quadratic (or higher) terms in the expansion of  $\mathbf{d}(q)$ . What is the selection rule for the term quadratic in  $q$ ?